

Waterdrive WP2 Agenda 26. June 9-11 with case area leaders

1. Really well done with the midterm reports. I have attached a combination of WP2 and WP4 in relation to the job you have done until now. There will come another version later when we have been working more together with the topic.
2. The next step in WP2 is a report about implementation- and investment plans in the case areas. Maybe the most complicated job in Waterdrive? I have attached a very first draft of what Anne and I are have been working with in Denmark on Fyn– only to your inspiration. We will send you the final version later this year.
 - a. An implementation plan is about which measures you are going to implement in the case area The environmental effect is good to show if it is possible (see the Danish report page 20).
 - b. Investment plans is the cost of the measures you have decided to implement in the whole case area (in real or at a theoretical level)
 - c. In the report, it is very important to have reflections from the landowners, the local advisors, catchment officers and stakeholders etc. What are the main challenges discovered in the Waterdrive focus groups? Otherwise, it only will look like a good “deskplan”.

How will you as case area leader handle these kind of plans in your country?

1. Västervik case area, Sweden Gun Lindberg
2. Result-based payments scheme case area, Sweden Emma Svensson/Mathilda Valman
3. Southern Finland drainage case area, Finland. Mikko Ortamala
4. Ljuga River case area, Leningrad, Russia Mikhail Ponomarev
5. ölotsamaa case area, Estonia Kaja Peterson
6. Jelgava case area, Latvia Ingars Rozitis/Zanda
7. Gurjevsk case area, Kaliningrad, Russia Irina Popova
8. Zuvintas Reserve and agriculture case area, Lithuania Elvyra Miksyte
9. Kutno County case area, Poland Katarzyna Izydorczyk/Janusz Dąbrowski/Kinga Krauze
10. Odense case area, Denmark Anne Sloth/Frank Bondgaard

You have free hands to set up the report so it make sense in your own country. I am not able to make a template for you here. **Deadline for the first draft of implementation- and investment plans is 1.**

December 2020

3. The case areas in relation to policy recommendations. We are trying to look into this now in a newsletter and I am sure that we can have different viewpoints here.
4. The Impact assessment model by Kinga – how do you as case area leader see your own case area here? – could that be a way to do it.
5. Magnus when do you think we are going to make the first test of the leadership manual?

Minutes from 13. May

No.	Name	Leader	
1.	Kutno County case area, Poland	Katarzyna Izydorczyk Janusz Dąbrowski	Participated
2.	Zuvintas Reserve and agriculture case area, Lithuania	Elvyra Miksyte	Participated
2.	Gurjevsk case area, Kaliningrad, Russia	Irina Popova	Participated
3.	Jelgava case area, Latvia	Ingars Rozitis Zanda	Zanda participated
4.	Põltsamaa case area, Estonia	Kaja Peterson	Kaie participated
5.	Ljuga River case area, Leningrad, Russia	Mikhail Ponomarev	Participated
6.	Southern Finland drainage case area, Finland	Mikko Ortamala	Kinga Krauze
7.	Result-based payments scheme case area, Sweden	Emma Svensson Mathilda Valman	Participated Participated
8.	Västervik case area, Sweden	Gun Lindberg	Participated
9.	Odense case area, Denmark	Frank Bondgaard/Anne Sloth	Participated Participated
	Leadership manual	Magnus Ljung	Participated
	Impact assessment	Kinga Krauze	Participated
	Communication	Zanda Melnalksne	Participated

Headlines:

1. Midterm report from each case area – deadline 31. May for all case area leaders.
We concluded that some countries should write WP2+WP4 in the headlines
2. Status in case areas. Read down below
Next step:
 - a. Newsletter about case areas in august/September
 - b. Implementation – and investment plans. Read down below
3. Leadership manual –Magnus will test this together with the case area leaders.
4. Next meeting. The Impact assessment model by Kinga– we need to talk more about this.
5. Next meeting. The case areas in relation to Policy Recommendations – we need a team meeting together with Kaja and WP4
6. Next meeting. Can we move Waterdrive forward with our existing experience. Too early to decide.

WP2 Multi-actor implementation 2.1

Status

A status in all the case areas 13. May at a WP2 MS Team meeting showed that situation in all countries has a challenge with covid-19 and meetings in the project. Despite the situation, everyone in the case areas continues the work in Waterdrive. The focus group meetings are impossible to implement in the form they have been envisaged. The meetings are at the moment done by individual meeting or in small groups in the fields. Small cross sector meetings in fields and the landscape are obvious in Waterdrive. If the situation continue in autumn, it can be necessary to train the farmers in MS Team, Zoom, Skype ect. The travel costs can then maybe be used to do videos and training to fulfill the work in Waterdrive in each country. Videos are best from May-September in the landscape, so here we have to decide soon which road we choose. The PCT team are working with the challenge.

Newsletter

Newsletter about the progress in case areas in August/September done by the case area leaders. Zanda and Frank will work with a template/a first draft. It will make sense to combine it with the policy recommendations in WP4.

Implementation- and investment plans

The next important steps in Waterdrive is to make local implementation- and investment plans. Each case area leader make a report about implementation- and investment plans in the Waterdrive case area. Here it is important to show the environmental measures, the landowners and the levels of cross-sector cooperation. Reflections and conclusions in the end of the report shall feed into the communication of Waterdrive. What are the challenges and how shall they be solved? The calculations in the investment plans has to be in Euro. Anne Sloth, catchment officer in Denmark are working with the task in May and you will all get a copy. Each country has to find their own way to report implementation- and investment plans because we are working with very different tasks.


Participatory toolbox

The data from the workshop in Jelgava are analyzed and a draft of the structure of the toolbox are under construction. A lot of work is still needed to fill the toolbox with written content and concrete methods and tools. Still in process to find and collected suitable methods. When the first draft of the participatory toolbox is ready. The toolbox will be tested of the case area leaders in autumn 2020.

Leadership manual 2.2

Magnus Ljung has now done the headlines to the leadership manual.

When the first draft of the manual is done, the manual will be tested of the case area leaders in autumn 2020.



1. New Services

In May Flemming Gertz will together with the New service team kick off the catalog: New services for water management in agricultural landscapes - a catalogue of ideas and experiences. Poland, Russia, Sweden and Denmark represent the team. The work will continue in the track of the WP2 meeting in Copenhagen Leadership, institutional structures and new services in the BSR. [Link](#) to report. The first draft will be ready in autumn 2020.